UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/648,776	08/12/2003	Angelo Bosco	851063.485	4034	
SEED INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW GROUP PLLC 701 FIFTH AVE			EXAMINER		
			THOMAS, MIA M		
SUITE 5400 SEATTLE, WA	98104	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/30/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/648,776	BOSCO ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	

	IVIIA IVI. TTIOTTIAS	2024	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 June 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav peal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailir	ng date of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	later than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE (f).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of e under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	xtension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	s of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed to AMENDMENTS			e appeal. Since a
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co	•	TE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE bel	•		
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	etter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying t	ne issues for
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally rei	acted claims	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		solod olalims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		mpliant Amendment (PTOI -324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		•	1 0 2 0 2 1 //
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a			nt canceling the
non-allowable claim(s).	,		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-16</u> .			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-70</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a
10. 🔲 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered been considered because the consi	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowan	ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
	/Andrew W Johns/		
	Primary Examiner, Art U	Init 2624	
	. Innary Examinor, Art C	2021	

Continuation of 13. Other: For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered and an explaination of the previously presented claims is stated below and the proposed rejection detailed in the Final Office action would be included in the Examiner's Answer. Claims 1-16 would be rejected for the reasons set forth in the Final Office action mailed 03 March 2009. The finality of the Office is not withdrawn, however the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph have been overcome in view of the telephone interview and the discussion of the claim limitations.

According to what the Examiner recorded per the telephone interview on 01 June 2009; The Examiner did not reach the same conclusion as recorded in this response. The Examiner agrees and as is identified via the applicant's remarks that the claims under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph would be withdrawn based upon the reflections and summary of the invention by the applicant's representative, however, the Examiner does not recall agreement that the Finality would be withdrawn, the 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejection would be withdrawn and that a new search would be performed. The Examiner maintains that the only argeement that was reached to the best of the Examiner's recollection was that the 35 U.S.C 112, second paragraph rejections would be indicated as overcome via the response to be timely filed by applicant. The Examiner discussed as is noted in the interview summary that an updated search of the prior art would be necessary to determine if the claimed invention was in fact patentable over the prior art made of record.